

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,178	01/24/2005	Toshio Shimizu	040894-7167 3575		
9629 MORGAN LE	7590 08/02/200 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER			
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			NASH, BRIAN D		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

17.1					
Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/522,178	SHIMIZU ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Brian Nash	3721				

	Brian Nash	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further con 	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w):	i ⊏ below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	112 2 nd paragraph rejections mad	e for claims 6 and 7.	1 102 024).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-1.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet.	BRIAN D. NASH					
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Drian D. Nach				
TEC	HNOLOGY CENTER 3700	Brian D. Nash Examiner				
	M 7/31/07	Art Unit: 3721				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's cancellation of claims 6-7 would indeed render moot the rejections made against those claims; however, the amendment cannot be partially entered and therefore claims 6-7 remain finally rejected at this time.